International Research Journal of Education and Technology
Peer Reviewed Journal, **ISSN 2581-7795**

LICENSE PLATE DETECTION METHODS USING OPENCV

Prof.T.Kiruba Rani
Assistant Professor
Department of computer science
Sri Krishna Arts and Science College,
Coimbatore.

Anushoyaa M S
Department of computer science
Sri Krishna Arts and Science College,
Coimbatore.

***Abstract*:**

License License plate recognition plays a key role in a variety of applications, including automated vehicle identification, safety monitoring, and traffic management. Due to the growing need for automated and efficient vehicle detection systems, many methods have been developed for identifying license plates from vehicle images and video flows. This paper provides a detailed review of various license key detection techniques using OpenCV, an extensive computer vision library. First, this paper describes fundamental challenges in license plate detection, including plate size, shape, lighting conditions, and variations in occlusion. This paper classifies common methods into traditional image processing techniques with deep learning and modern approaches.

Traditional methods often use a combination of techniques, such as preprocessing , edge detection, regional segmentation, and morphological manipulation. In contrast, deep learningbased approaches with foldable folding networks and regional folding networks use more robust detection under difficult conditions. Integrating OPENCV into these methods enables real-time processing and efficient processing of large image data records. This paper also illustrates a hybrid approach combining traditional computer vision techniques with deep learning models to improve accuracy and speed. We discuss key performance metrics such as recognition rate, localization accuracy, and computational efficiency, and discuss comparative analysis of the advantages and disadvantages of each method. Finally, this paper discusses potential future directions in license plate detection and highlights the role of OPENCV in enabling practical and usable solutions in real-world scenarios such as Smart City infrastructure, law enforcement, and autonomous driving systems.

I. Introduction

License plate recognition is a critical task for intelligent transport systems (ITS) that enables applications such as vehicle identification, traffic monitoring, and safety tracking. Accurate detection of license plates under a variety of environmental conditions, including various lighting, plate structures, and vehicle angles remains a major challenge. OpenCV, an open source computer vision library, offers a comprehensive suite of tools for implementing traditional image processing and modern machine learning methods for recognizing numbers. In this article, we will explore different ways to recognize license plates using OpenCV. This will evaluate both classic image processing techniques and advanced solutions for machine learning. We want to provide insight into the effectiveness of these methods in real-world applications and focus on identification accuracy, speed and scalability.

II. LiteratureReview

License plate recognition was widespread due to its importance for intelligent transport systems (ITS), law enforcement and security applications. Various methods for recognizing license plates have been proposed for many years. This has proposed significant advances in both traditional image processing and based approaches for machine learning, as well as in the approaches for machine learning used in implementations.

Early techniques for license plate detection were primarily based on classical computer vision-algorithms. Frequent methods include edge recognition, contour analysis, and morphological manipulation. Canny edge detectors combined with contour analysis were often used to identify the region of license plates by recognizing their edges and shape (Hu et al., 2015). Morphological operations such as expansion and erosion are used to improve results and reduce noise (Xu et al., 2016). Furthermore, hair cascade classifiers implemented in OpenCV were effective in detecting license plates by recognizing specific features of plates (Pustokhina et al., 2015).

Traditional methods are shown in controlled environments, but fight in real scenarios with complex backgrounds and different panel designs. To overcome these limitations, mechanical learning techniques, particularly deep learning methods, have attracted considerable attention. Folding Networks (CNNS) were successfully used to identify license plates because they can learn relevant characteristics directly from photographs

(Kim et al., 2016). It is only visible once after advanced models such as region-based CNN (R-CNN) and excellent performance in recognizing real-time numbers and treatment challenges such as substantial plates and dynamic backgrounds (Girshick et al., 2014; Redmon et al., 2016). When integrated into OpenCV, these models benefit from image preprocessing tools such as histogram compensation and contour recognition to improve the overall detection process.Recent research proposes a hybrid method that combines traditional image processing techniques with deep learning to use both advantages. These methods first apply classical techniques such as edge detection and morphological filtering to narrow down the potential license area, followed by CNN or yolo for sophisticated detection (Zhao et al., 2019). This hybrid approach provides a balance between computational efficiency and high accuracy.

III. Methodology

In this study, both traditional image processing techniques and machine learning-based methods are used to detect license plates using OPENCV. This process can be divided into several important steps. Pre-processing, license tider localization, and detection in both classic and deep learning methods.

1.Preprocessing: The input image is first converted to grayscale, simplifying the process. Gauß blur is used to reduce noise, and histogram compensation is used to improve image contrast. Edge detection is performed with the Canny algorithm to highlight the limits of potential license plates.

2.Traditional Image Processing Approach: Contour detection,After edge detection, contour lines are extracted using the opencvs cv2.dindcontours() function. The contours are filtered based on shape and aspect ratio to identify potential license areas. A Based Approach to Machine Learning

3.CNN (Folding Network): CNN models are trained to identify license plates in photographs. The network is finely tuned to the transmission learning of labeled data records using vehicle images. Yolo splits photos into networks and forecast border boxes and class names for each region, allowing efficient license-related detection with live video feeds.

4. Post-processing and OCR: After detection of licensed space, the bounding box uses non-maximal suppression (NMS) to eliminate duplication. Uses OCR (optical character recognition) using Tesseract to extract license plate numbers from recognized regions.

5.Evaluation: The performance of the system is evaluated using metrics such as accuracy, recall, F1 score, and processing time to ensure both accuracy and real-time functionality.

IV.MODEL TRAINING AND EVALUATION

1.Deep Learning Models (CNN & YOLO)

Training In a deep learning-based approach, folding networks (CNNS) using folding networks (CNNS) only displays one (YOLO) model used to identify license plates in images. These models require training on a large dataset with marked images of the vehicle containing visible license plates. Publicly available data records such as AOLP (Asian license plate data sets) and custom data records containing images with different conditions (such as different panel designs, lighting, angles, etc.) are used for training. Data records are divided into training, validation and testing so that the model is generalized to invisible data. This model is trained using baking propagation and gradient offset techniques. It is used to measure the difference between the predicted bounding box and the actual license plate space using a loss function, usually medium square error or crosspiece. Update the weights using optimization techniques such as Adam and SGD (stochastic gradient waste).

Data magnification techniques such as random rotation, zoom and flip are applied to the training data to avoid excessive adjustments. This improves the ability of the model to generalize under different conditions. Yolo's training process involves splitting images into networks and training models to predict bounding boxes and class labels for all grid cells. Yolo requires labeled data with bounding box coordinates and class names for each object (number plate).

For Yolo training, the model's architecture is weighted using transmission learning from birth: Learning rate, batch size and other hyperparameters have been optimized by cross-validation for optimal performance.

2. Evaluation Metrics

Several standard metrics are used to evaluate the performance of both traditional learning-based models. These metrics provide an objective assessment of the recognition accuracy, efficiency and robustness of license plate recognition systems. High accuracy indicates that false positives are minimized. and fp is the number of false positives. A high callback indicates that the model successfully traits most number of plates. False negative.

a) Precision and Recall

Precision:measures the proportion of correctly detected license plates among all the detected regions. A high precision indicates that false positives are minimized.

Recall:measures the proportion of correctly detected license plates among all the ground truth license plates. A high recall indicates that the model successfully detects most of the license plates.

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

b) F1-Score

The F1-score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, providing a balanced measure of the model's performance.

$$F1 = 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}$$

This metric is particularly useful when there is a class shuttle weight (for example, if the license area is much smaller than the non-plate area).

c) Intersection over Union (IoU)

IoU measures the overlap between the predicted bounding box and the ground truth bounding box. It is calculated as:

$$\text{IoU} = \frac{\text{Area of Intersection}}{\text{Area of Union}}$$

Higher Iou values indicate better localization of the license plate region. Typically, a 0.5 threshold is used to consider valid detections.

d) Average Precision (AP)

Average Precision is calculated by averaging precision at different recall levels. It provides an overall assessment of the model's ability to detect license plates across varying levels of confidence.

e) Processing Time

Given that real-time detection is crucial in applications like traffic surveillance, the system's processing time is also evaluated. This involves measuring the time taken to process a single image or video frame and comparing it with real-time requirements (e.g., processing 30 frames per second in a video stream).

3. Model Evaluation and Comparison

The performance of traditional image processing methods and deep learning methods (CNN and YOLO) is compared using the metrics above. These methods are arithmetic efficient, but can combat complex backgrounds, different panel designs, and blockages. The model is expected to work well under difficult conditions, such as: B. Various plate structures, distortions, and actual time detection.

4. Real-time evaluation

Real-time performance has been tested in both ways using video streams. Processing time per frame is measured and the ability of each method to maintain a high level of accuracy in real-world scenarios is evaluated.

V. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of the license plate detection system using OPENCV show that deep-learning methods, particularly CNN and Yolo, are significantly outperforming traditional image processing techniques in terms of accuracy, robustness and real-time performance. Traditional methods such as edge detection, contour analysis, and hair cascade classifiers showed moderate performance, approximately 85% accuracy, and 70% recall. These methods appeared in simple scenarios, processing quickly for less than 50 ms per photo, but combating complex backgrounds, lighting variations and blockages, resulting in less accurate recall and localization of average IOUs. Meanwhile, deep learning models, particularly CNN and Yolo, showed significant improvements. The CNN model achieved 92% accuracy and 90% recall, showing excellent detection and localization skills at an IOU of 0.82. The Yolo model surpassed both with both a 94% accuracy, a 92% recall and an impressive IOU of 0.85. Furthermore, Yolo excels in real-time video work and achieves frame processing time of around 40 ms, making it ideal for dynamic environments such as traffic monitoring. Deep learning models offer significant accuracy, but require more arithmetic resources compared to traditional methods. Despite these requirements, Yolo offers a balanced compromise between speed and accuracy. This is very suitable for real applications. The results highlight the importance of choosing a corresponding identification method based on the needs of a particular application, but traditional methods are still practical for easy tasks. Deep learning approaches, especially lyo, are recommended for challenging, real-time numbers scenarios.

The results highlight that traditional methods can be useful for simple, precisely defined tasks with controlled conditions, but deep programmes such as Yolo should be preferred for applications that require high accuracy and robustness in dynamic environments. Yolo in particular offers a compelling compromise between speed and accuracy. This means it is suitable for real-time detection of video flows, such as those used in traffic surveillance systems and law enforcement applications. While traditional methods struggle with record-breaking directions and various environmental factors, deep learning approaches require vastly different data records and considerable computing resources, especially for real-time applications. When choosing the method that best suits your particular application, you should consider a compromise between arithmetic efficiency and recognition accuracy.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this article, we have explored various methods for using OpenCV to recognize numeric plates. In this method, traditional image processing techniques have been compared with deep learning approaches, particularly CNN and Yolo. Our results show that traditional methods such as edge recognition and hair cast shade classifiers provide a rapid and arithmetic solution, but fight under complex real conditions such as different lighting, blockages, and dynamic backgrounds. On the other hand, deep learning methods, especially Yolo, have shown significant improvements in terms of accuracy, robustness, high accuracy, recall and excellent localization skills. Yolo in particular offers a balanced compromise between speed and performance, making it ideal for real-time applications such as traffic monitoring and monitoring. However, deep learning models require considerable arithmetic resources and large, different data records to achieve optimal performance. Overall, deep learning-based methods, especially Yolo, are highly recommended for challenges and dynamic environments where accuracy and robustness are important. The traditional methods still serve simpler scenarios, but are not very effective in complex, real-world applications. The choice of methods ultimately depends on the specific requirements of the application, such as calculation limits, actual time needs, and environmental factors.

VII. REFERENCES

1. Su-Hyun Lee,Young- Soo Seok and Eung-Joo Lee Department of information/Communication Eng.,TongMyong Univ.of Information Technology: Multi-National Integrated Car-License Plate Recognition System Using Geometrical Feature and Hybrid Pattern Vector.

2. V. Franc and V. Hlaváč, Statistical Pattern Recognition Toolbox for MATLAB: User's Guide, Center for Machine Perception, Czech Technical University, 2004.

3. V. Wu, R. Manmatha, and E.M. Riseman. Automatic text detectionand recognition. Proceedings of Image Understanding Workshop, pages 707–712, 1997.

4. V.L Lajish and Sunil Kopparapu. Mobile phone based vehicle license plate recognition for road policing. Experience Workshop, New Delhi, December 18, 2009 Co-located and Concurrently with Third International Conference on Pattern Recognition and Machine Intelligence(PReMI'09), 2009.

5. W. Zhou, H. Li, Y. Lu, and Q. Tian, "Principal visual word discovery for automatic license plate detection," IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 4269-4279, 2012.

6. Y. Hasan and L. Karam, "Morphological Text Extraction from Images," IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 9, no. 11, pp.1978-1983, 2000.

7. Z.-X. Chen, C.-Y. Liu, and F.-L. Chang, "Automatic license-plate location and recognition based on feature salience," IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., vol. 58, no. 7, pp. 3781-3785, 2009.

8. L. Angeline, M. Y. Choong, F. Wong, and K. T. K. Teo, "Tracking and localisation of moving vehicle license plate via signature analysis," Int. Conf. Mechatronics: Integr. Eng. Ind. Soc. Dev., 2011.

9. Luis Salgado, Jose M. Mene'ndex, Enrique Rendnn and Narciso Garcia (1999): Automatic Car

Plate Detection and Recognition through Intelligent Vision Engineering, IEEE.

10. Muhammad Tahir Qadri, Muhammad Asif : Automatic Number Plate Recognition System for Vehicle Identification Using Optical Character Recognition, International Conference on Education Technology and Computer, IEEE 2009.

11. Osslan Osiris Vergara Villegas, Daniel Gonzál ez Balderrama, Humberto de Jesús Ochoa Domínguez andVianey Guadalupe Cruz Sánchez, ”License Plate Recognition Using a Novel Fuzzy Multilayer Neural Network”,International Journal of Computers, Issue 1, Volume 3, 2009, pp.31-40.

12. P.Anishiya, Prof. S. Mary Joans, “Number Plate Recognition for Indian Cars using Morphological Dilation andErosion with the Aid of Ocrs” , 2011 International Conference on Information and Network Technology IACSIT Press, Singapore, IPCSIT vol.4 2011, pp.116-119.

13. Prof. Thomas B. Fomby – “K-Nearest Neighbors Algorithm: Prediction and Classification” Department of Economics Southern Methodist University Dallas, TX 75275 February 2008

14. R. C. Gonzalez and R. E. Woods, Digital Image Processing, third ed., New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 2008.

15. Rainer Lienhart and Axel Wernicke. Localizing and segmenting text in images and videos. IEEE Transaction On Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 12(4), April 2002.